arXiv:1501.00063v1 [math.QA] 31 Dec 2014

Cyclic permutations of lattice vertex operator algebras

Chongying Dong*

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064
USA

Feng Xu†and Nina Yu

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521
USA

January 5, 2015

Abstract

The irreducible modules of the 2-cycle permutation orbifold models of lattice vertex operator algebras of rank 1 are classified, the quantum dimensions of irreducible modules and the fusion rules are determined.

1 Introduction

This paper is about the permutation orbifolds of the rank one lattice vertex operator algebras under the action of the 2-cycle. The permutation orbifolds study the permutations actions on the tensor products of vertex operator algebras. Namely, given a vertex operator algebra V, then tensor product $V^{\otimes n}$ of n-copies of V as a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space naturally has a vertex operator algebra structure [FHL]. Any element σ of the symmetric group S_n acts on $V^{\otimes n}$ in the obvious way, and gives an automorphism of $V^{\otimes n}$ of finite order. The fixed points set $(V^{\otimes n})^{\sigma} = \{v \in V^{\otimes n} | \sigma(v) = v\}$ is a vertex operator subalgebra which is called a σ-permutation orbifold model.

A systematic study was started in [BHS] for cyclic permutation orbifolds for affine algebras and Virasoro algebras, where the twisted sectors, genus one characters and their modular transformations, as well as the fusion rules were treated. The genus one characters and modular transformation properties of permutation orbifolds of arbitrary rational conformal field theories was presented in [Ba]. The twisted sectors or twisted modules for the permutation orbifolds of an arbitrary vertex operator algebra orbifold models were constructed in [BDM]. In the case

---

*Supported by NSF grant DMS-1404741, NSA grant H98230-14-1-0118 and China NSF grant 11371261

†Partially supported by China NSF 11471064

that V is a lattice vertex operator algebra, tensor products of V is another lattice vertex operator algebra again. So the twisted sectors for permutation orbifolds in this case were given in [Le], [DL2] already. See [BHL] for the details on this. The C_2-cofiniteness of permutation orbifolds and general cyclic orbifolds have been obtained recently in [A3], [A4] and [M]. Conformal nets approach to permutation orbifolds have been studied in [KLX] where irreducible representations of the cyclic orbifold are determined and fusion rules were given for $n = 2$.

However, representation theory of permutation orbifolds from the point of view of vertex operator algebras has not been well understood, such as rationality, classification of irreducible modules, and fusion rules. We study the representations of order 2 cyclic permutation orbifold models for rank one lattice vertex operator algebras in this paper. In particular we compute the quantum dimensions of the irreducible modules and determine the fusions. It turns out that such permutation orbifold can be realized as a simple current extension of the tensor product of a lattice vertex operator algebra V_L with the fixed point vertex operator algebra V_L^+ under the -1 automorphism. So the main idea is to use the representations of V_L and V_L^+ [D1], [D2], [DN2], [AD], [ADL], [A2], [DJL] to understand the representation theory of the permutation orbifolds such as classification of irreducible modules and the fusion rules. The results of this paper agree with that of [KLX] in the setting of conformal nets.

The paper is organized as follows: §2 and §3 are preliminaries on the vertex operator algebras theory framework. In these sections we give some basic notions that appear in this paper and recall construction of the lattice vertex operator algebras $V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha}$ and $V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha}^+$. In §4 we study $\left(V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$, the 2 cyclic permutation orbifold models for rank one lattice vertex operator algebras. We prove the rationality of $\left(V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. The classification of the irreducible modules of $\left(V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ is given in §5. The quantum dimensions of all irreducible modules of $\left(V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ are computed in §6. We apply results from the previous sections to determine all fusion products in §7.

2 Preliminaries

Let $(V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ be a vertex operator algebra [Bo], [FLM]. First we recall notions of an automorphism g of finite order of a vertex operator algebra and its g-twisted modules [FLM], [DLM2].

Definition 2.1. An *automorphism* g of a vertex operator algebra V is a linear isomorphism of V satisfying $g(\omega) = \omega$ and $gY(v, z)\, g^{-1} = Y(gv, z)$ for any $v \in V$. We denote by $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$ the group of all automorphism of V.

For a subgroup $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(V)$ the fixed point set $V^G = \{v \in V | g(v) = v, \forall g \in G\}$ has a vertex operator algebra structure.

Let g be an automorphism of a vertex operator algebra V of order T. Denote the decomposition of V into eigenspaces of g as:

$$V = \oplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}/T\mathbb{Z}} V^r$$

where $V^r = \left\{v \in V | gv = e^{2\pi i r/T} v\right\}$.

Definition 2.2. A *weak g-twisted V-module* M is a vector space with a linear map

$$Y_M : V \to (\mathrm{End} M)\{z\}$$

$$v \mapsto Y_M(v,z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Q}} v_n z^{-n-1} \ (v_n \in \mathrm{End}M)$$

which satisfies the following: for all $0 \le r \le T-1$, $u \in V^r$, $v \in V$, $w \in M$,

$$Y_M(u,z) = \sum_{n\in -\frac{r}{T}+\mathbb{Z}} u_n z^{-n-1},$$

$$u_l w = 0 \; for \; l \gg 0,$$

$$Y_M(\mathbf{1},z) = Id_M,$$

$$z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)Y_M(u,z_1)Y_M(v,z_2) - z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2-z_1}{-z_0}\right)Y_M(v,z_2)Y_M(u,z_1)$$

$$z_2^{-1}\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right)^{-r/T}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right)Y_M(Y(u,z_0)v,z_2),$$

where $\delta(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} z^n$.

Definition 2.3. A *g-twisted V-module* is a weak g-twisted V-module M which carries a $\mathbb{C}$-grading induced by the spectrum of $L(0)$ where $L(0)$ is the component operator of $Y(\omega,z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} L(n)z^{-n-2}$. That is, we have $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} M_\lambda$, where $M_\lambda = \{w \in M | L(0)w = \lambda w\}$. Moreover, $\dim M_\lambda$ is finite and for fixed λ, $M_{\frac{n}{T}+\lambda} = 0$ for all small enough integers n.

Definition 2.4. An *admissible g-twisted V-module* $M = \oplus_{n\in\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}_+} M(n)$ is a $\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}_+$-graded weak g-twisted module such that $u_m M(n) \subset M(\mathrm{wt}u - m - 1 + n)$ for homogeneous $u \in V$ and $m, n \in \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}$.

If $g = Id_V$ we have the notions of weak, ordinary and admissible V-modules [DLM2].

Definition 2.5. A vertex operator algebra V is called *g-rational* if the admissible g-twisted module category is semisimple. V is called *rational* if V is 1-rational.

It is proved in [DLM2] that if V is a g-rational vertex operator algebra, then there are only finitely many irreducible admissible g-twisted V-modules up to isomorphism and and any irreducible admissible g-twisted V-module is ordinary.

Definition 2.6. A vertex operator algebra V is said to be C_2-cofinite if $V/C_2(V)$ is finite dimensional, where $C_2(V) = \langle v_{-2}u | v, u \in V\rangle$.

Remark 2.7. If V is a C_2-cofinite vertex operator algebra, then V has only finitely many irreducible admissible modules up to isomorphism [DLM2, Li].

We need the contragredient module [FHL] in this paper.

Definition 2.8. Let $M=\bigoplus_{n\in\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}_+}M(n)$ be an admissible g-twisted V-module, the contragredient module M' is defined as follows:

$$M'=\bigoplus_{n\in\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}_+}M(n)^*,$$

where $M(n)^*=\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M(n),\mathbb{C})$. The vertex operator $Y_{M'}(v,z)$ is defined for $v\in V$ via

$$\langle Y_{M'}(v,z)f,u\rangle = \ \langle f,Y_M(e^{zL(1)}(-z^{-2})^{L(0)}v,z^{-1})u\rangle$$

where $\langle f,w\rangle=f(w)$ is the natural paring $M'\times M\to\mathbb{C}$.

Remark 2.9. 1. $(M',Y_{M'})$ is an admissible g^{-1}-twisted V-module [FHL].

2. We can also define the contragredient module M' for a g-twisted V-module M. In this case, M' is a g^{-1}-twisted V-module. Moreover, M is irreducible if and only if M' is irreducible.

Here are the definition of intertwining operators and fusion rules [FHL].

Definition 2.10. Let $(V,\ Y)$ be a vertex operator algebra and let $(W^1,\ Y^1)$, $(W^2,\ Y^2)$ and $(W^3,\ Y^3)$ be V-modules. An intertwining operator of type $\left(\begin{array}{c}W^1\\ W^2\,W^3\end{array}\right)$ is a linear map

$$I(\cdot,\ z):\ W^2\to \mathrm{Hom}(W^3,\ W^1)\{z\}$$

$$u\to I(u,\ z)=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Q}}u_nz^{-n-1}$$

satisfying:

(1) for any $u\in W^2$ and $v\in W^3$, $u_nv=0$ for n sufficiently large;

(2) $I(L_{-1}v,\ z)=(\frac{d}{dz})I(v,\ z)$;

(3) (Jacobi Identity) for any $u\in V,\ v\in W^2$

$$z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)Y^1(u,\ z_1)I(v,\ z_2)-z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-z_2+z_1}{z_0}\right)I(v,\ z_2)Y^3(u,\ z_1)$$
$$=z_2^{-1}\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right)I(Y^2(u,\ z_0)v,\ z_2).$$

We denote the space of all intertwining operators of type $\left(\begin{array}{c}W^1\\ W^2\,W^3\end{array}\right)$ by $I_V\left(\begin{array}{c}W^1\\ W^2\,W^3\end{array}\right)$. Let $N_{W^2,\ W^3}^{W^1}=\dim I_V\left(\begin{array}{c}W^1\\ W^2\,W^3\end{array}\right)$. These integers $N_{W^2,\ W^3}^{W^1}$ are usually called the **fusion rules**.

Definition 2.11. Let V be a vertex operator algebra, and W^1, W^2 be two V-modules. A module (W,I), where $I\in I_V\left(\begin{array}{c}W\\ W^1\ \ W^2\end{array}\right)$, is called a fusion product of W^1 and W^2 if for any V-module M and $\mathcal{Y}\in I_V\left(\begin{array}{c}M\\ W^1\ \ W^2\end{array}\right)$, there is a unique V-module homomorphism $f:W\to M$, such that $\mathcal{Y}=f\circ I$. As usual, we denote (W,I) by $W^1\boxtimes_V W^2$.

It is well known that if V is rational, then the fusion product exists. We shall often consider the fusion product

$$W^1 \boxtimes_V W^2 = \sum_W N_{W^1,\, W^2}^W W$$

where W runs over the set of equivalence classes of irreducible V-modules.

The following symmetry property of fusion rules is well known [FHL].

Proposition 2.12. Let W^i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ be V-modules. Then

$$\dim I_V \binom{W^3}{W^1 W^2} = \dim I_V \binom{W^3}{W^2 W^1}, \dim I_V \binom{W^3}{W^1 W^2} = \dim I_V \binom{\left(W^2\right)'}{W^1 \left(\ W^3\right)'}.$$

Definition 2.13. Let V be a simple vertex operator algebra. A simple V-module M is called *a simple current* if for any irreducible V-module W, $W \boxtimes M$ exists and is also a simple V-module.

Let D be a finite abelian group and assume that we have a set of irreducible simple current V^0-modules $\{V^\alpha | \alpha \in D\}$ indexed by D.

Definition 2.14. An extension $V_D = \oplus_{\alpha \in D} V^\alpha$ of V^0 is called a *D-graded simple current extension* if V_D carries a structure of a simple vertex operator algebra such that $Y\left(u^\alpha, z\right) u^\beta \in V^{\alpha+\beta}\left((z)\right)$ for any $u^\alpha \in V^\alpha$ and $xu^\beta \in V^\beta$.

3 The vertex operator algebra V_L and V_L^+

Let $L = \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ be a positive definite even lattice of rank one with $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 2k$ for some positive integer k. In this section, we review the construction of rank one lattice vertex operator algebra from [FLM]. Then we give some related results about V_L and V_L^+ [A1, A2, AD, ADL, DN1, DN2, DN3, DJL, FLM].

Set $\mathfrak{h} = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ and extend $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to a $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear form on $\mathfrak{h}$. Let $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L$ and extend $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to a $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear form on $\mathfrak{h}$. Let $\hat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L \oplus \mathbb{C}K$ be the affine Lie algebra associated to the abelian Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ so that

$$\left[\alpha\left(m\right), \alpha\left(n\right)\right] = 2km\delta_{m,-n}K \ \ and \ \ \left[K, \hat{\mathfrak{h}}\right] = 0$$

for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ where $\alpha\left(m\right) = \alpha \otimes t^m$. Then $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\geq 0} = \mathbb{C}\left[t\right] \otimes \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}K$ is a commutative subalgebra. For any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}$, we define a one $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\geq 0}$-module $\mathbb{C}e^\lambda$ such that $\alpha\left(m\right) e^\lambda = \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \delta_{m,0} e^\lambda$ and $K \cdot e^\lambda = e^\lambda$ for $m \geq 0$. We denote by

$$M\left(1, \lambda\right) = U\left(\hat{\mathfrak{h}}\right) \otimes_{U\left(\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\geq 0}\right)} \otimes \mathbb{C}e^\lambda \cong S\left(t^{-1}\mathbb{C}\left[t^{-1}\right]\right)$$

the $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$-module induced from $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\geq 0}$-module $\mathbb{C}e^\lambda$. Set $M\left(1\right) = M\left(1, 0\right)$. Then there exists a linear map $Y : M\left(1\right) \to \mathrm{End} M\left(1\right)\left[\left[z, z^{-1}\right]\right]$ such that $\left(M\left(1\right), Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega\right)$ carries a simple vertex operator algebra structure and $M\left(1, \lambda\right)$ becomes an irreducible $M\left(1\right)$-module for any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}$

[FLM]. Let $\mathbb{C}[L]$ be the group algebra of L with a basis e^{β} for $\beta \in L$. The lattice vertex operator algebra associated to L is given by

$$V_L = M(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[L].$$

The dual lattice L° of L is

$$L^{\circ} = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h} | \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \frac{1}{2k} L.$$

Then $L^{\circ} = \cup_{i=-k+1}^{k} (L + \lambda_i)$ is the coset decomposition with $\lambda_i = \frac{i}{2k}\alpha$. Set $\mathbb{C}[L+\lambda_i] = \oplus_{\beta \in L} \mathbb{C} e^{\beta + \lambda_i}$. Then each $\mathbb{C}[L+\lambda_i]$ is an L-submodule. Set $V_{L+\lambda_i} = M(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[L+\lambda_i]$. Then V_L is a rational vertex operator algebra and $V_{L+\lambda_i}$ for $i = 0, 1, \cdots, 2k-1$ are the irreducible modules for V_L [Bo, FLM, D1, DLM1]. Define a linear isomorphism $\theta : V_{L+\lambda_i} \to V_{L-\lambda_i}$ for $i \in \{0, 1, \cdots, 2k-1\}$ by

$$\theta\left(\alpha(-n_1)\alpha(-n_2)\cdots\alpha(-n_k) \otimes e^{\beta+\lambda_i}\right) = (-1)^k \alpha(-n_1)\cdots\alpha(-n_k) \otimes e^{-\beta-\lambda_i}$$

where $n_j > 0$ and $\beta \in L$. Then θ defines a linear isomorphism from $V_{L^{\circ}} = M(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[L^{\circ}]$ to itself such that

$$\theta(Y(u,z)v) = Y(\theta u, z)\theta v$$

for $u \in V_L$ and $v \in V_{L^{\circ}}$. In particular, θ is an automorphism of V_L which induces an automorphism of $M(1)$.

For any θ-stable subspace U of $V_{L^{\circ}}$, let $U^{\pm}$ be the ± 1-eigenspace of U for θ. Then V_L^+ is a simple vertex operator algebra.

Recall the θ-twisted Heisenberg algebra $\mathfrak{h}[-1]$ and its irreducible module $M(1)(\theta)$ from [FLM]. Note that $L/2L$ has two irreducible modules $T_s = \mathbb{C}$ such that α acts as $(-1)^s$ for $s = 1, 2$. Then $V_L^{T_s} = M(1)(\theta) \otimes T_s$ is an irreducible θ-twisted module of V_L [FLM, D2]. Define actions of θ on $M(1)(\theta)$ and $V_L^{T_s}$ by

$$\theta(\alpha(-n_1)\cdots\alpha(-n_k)) = (-1)^k \alpha(-n_1)\cdots\alpha(-n_k)$$

$$\theta(\alpha(-n_1)\cdots\alpha(-n_k) \otimes t) = (-1)^k \alpha(-n_1)\cdots\alpha(-n_k) \otimes t$$

for $n_j \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_+$and $t \in T_s$. We denote the ± 1-eigenspaces of $V_L^{T_s}$ under θ by $\left(V_L^{T_s}\right)^{\pm}$. Then we have have the following results:

Theorem 3.1. Any irreducible V_L^+-module is isomorphic to one of the following modules:

$$V_L^{\pm}, V_{\lambda_i+L}, V_{\lambda_k+L}^{\pm}, \left(V_L^{T_s}\right)^{\pm}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ and $s = 1, 2$.

Theorem 3.2. V_L^+ is rational.

Remark 3.3. The classification of irreducible modules for arbitrary V_L^+ are obtained in [DN2, AD]. The rationality of V_L^+ is established in [A2] for rank one lattice and in [DJL] for general case. Fusion rules of all irreducible V_L^+-modules are given in [A1] for rank one lattice L and in [ADL] for general case.

4 Rationality of vertex operator algebra $(V_L \otimes V_L)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$

From now on, we set $V_L = V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha}$ where $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 2k$ with k a positive integer. The vertex operator algebra $V_L \otimes V_L$ is isomorphic to the lattice vertex operator algebra $V_{L \oplus L}$, where $L \oplus L$ is the orthogonal direct sum of two copies of the lattice L. Denote by α^1, α^2 the copy of α in the first and second summand of $L \oplus L$ respectively. Let $\beta_1 = \alpha^1 + \alpha^2 \in L \oplus L$, $\beta_2 = \alpha^1 - \alpha^2 \in L \oplus L$, then $\langle \beta_i, \beta_i \rangle = 4k$, $i = 1, 2$ and $\langle \beta_1, \beta_2 \rangle = 0$. Thus β_1 and β_2 are orthogonal and $\mathbb{Z}\beta_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}\beta_2 \cong \sqrt{2}\mathbb{Z}\alpha$.

Let $L_0 = \mathbb{Z}\beta_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\beta_2$, then

$$L \oplus L = L_0 \cup \left(\frac{1}{2}(\beta_1 + \beta_2) + L_0 \right).$$

Since $V_{L_0} \cong V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta_1} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta_2}$ as vertex operator algebras and $V_{\frac{1}{2}(\beta_1+\beta_2)+L_0} \cong V_{\frac{1}{2}\beta_1+\mathbb{Z}\beta_1} \otimes V_{\frac{1}{2}\beta_2+\mathbb{Z}\beta_2}$ as V_{L_0}-modules, we obtain

$$V_{L \oplus L} \cong V_L \otimes V_L \cong V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta_1} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta_2} \oplus V_{\frac{1}{2}\beta_1+\mathbb{Z}\beta_1} \otimes V_{\frac{1}{2}\beta_2+\mathbb{Z}\beta_2}.$$

Notice that the 2-cyclic permutation $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ corresponds to an automorphism of $V_{L \oplus L}$ lifted from the transpostion $(\delta, \gamma) \mapsto (\gamma, \delta)$ of $L \oplus L$. In particular, σ fixes all vectors in the vertex operator subalgebra $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta_1}$ of $V_{L \oplus L}$ and acts on $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta_2}$ as the involution θ. Thus we have the following isomorphism

$$(V_L \otimes V_L)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+} \oplus V_{\frac{1}{2}\beta+\mathbb{Z}\beta} \otimes V_{\frac{1}{2}\beta+\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+} \tag{1}$$

where $\langle \beta, \beta \rangle = 4k$.

We need the following result about rationality of a simple current extension [Y].

Lemma 4.1. The simple current extensions of rational C_2-cofinite vertex operator algebras of CFT-type are rational.

From short, we set $\mathcal{U} = V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+} \oplus V_{\frac{1}{2}\beta+\mathbb{Z}\beta} \otimes V_{\frac{1}{2}\beta+\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}$.

Proposition 4.2. The vertex operator algebra $\mathcal{U}$ is rational.

Proof. Since both the vertex operator algebras $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}$ and $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}$ are rational and C_2-cofinite, $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}$ is a rational C_2-cofinite vertex operator algebra [DLM1]. By fusion rules of irreducible modules of $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}$ and $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}$, we see that $V_{\frac{1}{2}\beta+\mathbb{Z}\beta} \otimes V_{\frac{1}{2}\beta+\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}$ is a simple current for the vertex operator algebra $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}$. By Lemma 4.1, $\mathcal{U}$ is rational. □

5 Classification of irreducible modules

We first decompose each irreducible and irreducbile twisted $V_L \otimes V_L$-modules into direct sum of irreducible $(V_L \otimes V_L)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$-modules. Then we show that this gives a complete irreducible $(V_L \otimes V_L)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$-modules.

Recall that the irreducible V_L-modules are $V_{L+\lambda_i}$ for $i = 0, ..., 2k-1$. The the irreducible $V_L \otimes V_L$-modules are $V_{L+\lambda_i} \otimes V_{L+\lambda_j}$ for $i, j \in \{0, 1, \cdots, 2k-1\}$. If $i \neq j$, then $V_{L+\lambda_i} \otimes V_{L+\lambda_j}$

and $V_{L+\lambda_j} \otimes V_{L+\lambda_i}$ are isomorphic irreducible $(V_L \otimes V_L)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ [DM], [DY]. For short we denote this irreducible module by $W_{(i\ j)}$ with $i > j$. The number of such irreducible modules is $2k^2 - k$.

When $i = j$, $V_{L+\lambda_i} \otimes V_{L+\lambda_j} + V_{L+\lambda_j} \otimes V_{L+\lambda_i}$ split into two different irreducible representations of $(V_L \otimes V_L)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ by [DY]. We denote these irreducible modules by $W_{\widetilde{(i\ \epsilon)}}$, $\epsilon = 0, 1$. The number of such irreducible modules is $4k$.

It is proved in [BDM] that the category of σ-twisted $V_L \otimes V_L$-modules are isomorphic to the category of V_L-modules, thus the number of isomorphism classes of irreducible σ-twisted $V_L \otimes V_L$-modules is equal to the number of isomorphism classes of irreducible V_L-modules. From [DY], each twisted module can also be decomposed into a direct sum of two irreducible modules of $(V_L \otimes V_L)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. We denote these irreducible modules by $W_{\widehat{(i\ \epsilon)}}$, $i = 0, 1, \cdots, 2k-1$, $\epsilon = 0, 1$. The number of such irreducible modules is $4k$.

This gives $2k^2 + 7k$ irreducible $(V_L \otimes V_L)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$-modules. In fact, all these irreducible modules are inequivalent as $(V_L \otimes V_L)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ is a simple current extension of $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^+$ and each irreducible module above can be obtained from an irreducible $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^+$-module by using the fusion product.

Proposition 5.1. Let $L = \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ be rank one positive definite even lattice with $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 2k$ where k is some positive integer.Then $\mathcal{U}$ has at most $2k^2 + 7k$ irreducible modules up to isomorphism as the following list:

1) For $0 \le i \le 2k-1, 0 \le j \le 2k-1$, $i > j$,

$$(i\ j) = V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta + \frac{i+j}{4k}\beta} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta + \frac{i-j}{4k}\beta} + V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta + \frac{2k+i+j}{4k}\beta} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta + \frac{2k-i+j}{4k}\beta}.$$

(2) For all $i \in \{0, 1, \cdots, 2k-1\}$,

$$\left(\widetilde{i\ 0}\right) = V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta + \frac{i}{2k}\beta} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^+ + V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta + \frac{i+k}{2k}\beta} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta + \frac{\beta}{2}}^+,$$

$$\left(\widetilde{i\ 1}\right) = V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta + \frac{i}{2k}\beta} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^- + V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta + \frac{i+k}{2k}\beta} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta + \frac{\beta}{2}}^-.$$

(3) For $0 \le i \le 2k-1$, when $k + i$ is even,

$$\left(\widehat{i\ 0}\right) = V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta + \frac{i}{4k}\beta} \otimes (V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{T_1})^+ + V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta + \frac{2k+i}{4k}\beta} \otimes (V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{T_1})^+,$$

$$\left(\widehat{i\ 1}\right) = V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta + \frac{i}{4k}\beta} \otimes (V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{T_1})^- + V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta + \frac{2k+i}{4k}\beta} \otimes (V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{T_1})^-.$$

When $k + i$ is odd,

$$\left(\widehat{i\ 0}\right) = V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta + \frac{i}{4k}\beta} \otimes (V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{T_2})^+ + V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta + \frac{2k+i}{4k}\beta} \otimes (V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{T_2})^-,$$

$$\left(\widehat{i\ 1}\right) = V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta + \frac{i}{4k}\beta} \otimes (V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{T_2})^- + V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta + \frac{2k+i}{4k}\beta} \otimes (V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{T_2})^+.$$

Proof. Since $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^+$ is a vertex operator subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}$, by Proposition 4.2, each irreducible $\mathcal{U}$-module M is a direct sum of irreducible $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^+$-modules. Let W be an irreducible $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^+$-module, we define

$$\mathcal{U} \cdot W = \left(V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^+\right) \boxtimes_{V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^+} W \oplus \left(V_{\frac{1}{2}\beta + \mathbb{Z}\beta} \otimes V_{\frac{1}{2}\beta + \mathbb{Z}\beta}^+\right) \boxtimes_{V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^+} W.$$

That is, the fusion product of $\mathcal{U}$ and W as $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}\otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}$-modules. Then $\mathcal{U}\cdot W$ is a $\mathcal{U}$-module only if $\mathcal{U}\cdot W$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded. First we prove that each module above is an irreducible $\mathcal{U}$-module.

i) If $W=V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{i}{4k}\beta}\otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{j}{4k}\beta}$ for some $i\in\{0,1,\cdots 4k-1\}$, $j\in\{1,2\cdots,2k-1\}$, then by fusion rules of irreducible $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}$-modules and $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}$-modules [DL1, A1]

$$\mathcal{U}\cdot W=V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{i}{4k}\beta}\otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{j}{4k}\beta}+V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{2k+i}{4k}\beta}\otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{2k-j}{4k}\beta}.$$

From which we can see that $\mathcal{U}\cdot W$ is a $\mathcal{U}$-module only if $i-j\in 2\mathbb{Z}$. Denote

$$(i\ j)=V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{i+j}{4k}\beta}\otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{i-j}{4k}\beta}+V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{2k+i+j}{4k}\beta}\otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{2k-i+j}{4k}\beta},$$

then $\{(i\ j)\,|0\leq i\leq 2k-1,0\leq j\leq 2k-1,i>j\}$ gives all $\mathcal{U}\cdot W$ that are irreducible $\mathcal{U}$-modules up to isomorphism. The number of such modules is $2k^2-k$.

ii) If $W=V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{j}{4k}\beta}\otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}$, $j=0,1\cdots,4k-1$, then by fusion rules of irreducible modules for $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}$ and $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}$ [DL1, A1],

$$\mathcal{U}.W=V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{j}{4k}\beta}\otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}+V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{2k+j}{4k}\beta}\otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{1}{2}\beta}^{+}.$$

So $\mathcal{U}\cdot W$ is a $\mathcal{U}$-module only if $j\in 2\mathbb{Z}$. Let $j=2i$ with $i\in\mathbb{Z}$, then we see that $\left(\widetilde{i\ 0}\right)=V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{i}{2k}\beta}\otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}+V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{i+k}{2k}\beta}\otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{\beta}{2}}^{+}$, $i=0,1,\cdots,2k-1$ are irreducible $\mathcal{U}$-modules.

Similarly, if $W=V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{j}{4k}\beta}\otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{-}$, $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{j}{4k}\beta}\otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{\beta}{2}}^{+}$ or $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{j}{4k}\beta}\otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{\beta}{2}}^{-}$, with $j=0,1,\cdots,4k-1$, then $\mathcal{U}\cdot W$ is a $\mathcal{U}$-module only if $j\in 2\mathbb{Z}$. Similarly we let $j=2i$, $i\in\mathbb{Z}$, denote these $\mathcal{U}\cdot W$ by $\left(\widetilde{i\ 1}\right)$, $\left(\widetilde{k+i\ 0}\right)$, and $\left(\widetilde{k+i\ 1}\right)$, $i=0,1,\cdots,2k-1$ respectively.

In this case, $\left\{\widetilde{(i\ \epsilon)}|0\leq i\leq 2k-1,\epsilon=0,1\right\}$ gives all $\mathcal{U}\cdot W$ that are irreducible $\mathcal{U}$-modules up to isomorphism. The number of irreducible $\mathcal{U}$-modules up to isomorphism is $4k$.

iii) If $W=V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{i}{4k}\beta}\otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{T_1,+}$ for some $i\in\{0,1,\cdots,4k-1\}$, it is easy to check that if $k+i\in 2\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{U}\cdot W=V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{i}{4k}\beta}\otimes(V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{T_1})^{+}+V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{2k+i}{4k}\beta}\otimes(V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{T_1})^{+}$ is an irreducible $\mathcal{U}$-module. Denote it by $\left(\widehat{i\ 0}\right)$. Similarly, we can check that , $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{2k+i}{4k}\beta}\otimes(V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{T_1})^{-}+V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{i}{4k}\beta}\otimes(V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{T_1})^{-}$ is an irreducible $\mathcal{U}$-module only if $k+i$ is even. We denote it by $\left(\widehat{i\ 1}\right)$. We see $\left\{\widehat{(i\ \epsilon)}|0\leq i\leq 2k-1,k+i\in 2\mathbb{Z}\right\}$ gives all $\mathcal{U}\cdot W$ that are irreducible $\mathcal{U}$-modules up to isomorphism.The number of such non-isomorphic irreducible $\mathcal{U}$-module is $2k$.

By similar argument, we can prove that when $W=V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{i}{4k}\beta}\otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{T_2,\pm}$ for some $i\in\{0,1,\cdots,4k-1\}$, $\mathcal{U}\cdot W$ is an irreducible $\mathcal{U}$-module only if $k+i\in 2\mathbb{Z}+1$. In this case, $\mathcal{U}\cdot W$ is isomorphic to $\widehat{(i\ 0)}$ and $\widehat{(i\ 1)}$ respectively as listed above for the case $k+i$ is odd. The number of irreducible $\mathcal{U}$-modules up to isomorphism is $2k$.

Since W runs over all irreducible $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}\otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}$-modules, from above we see that the number of irreducible $\mathcal{U}$-modules up to isomorphism is at most $2k^2+7k$. $\square$

Definition 5.2. A vertex opertor algebra V is graded by an abelian group D if $V=\oplus_{\alpha\in D}V^{\alpha}$, and $u_nv\in V^{\alpha+\beta}$ for any $u\in V^{\alpha}$, $v\in V^{\beta}$, and $n\in\mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 5.3. Let D be an abelian group and $\tilde{V}=\sum_{\alpha\in D}V^{\alpha}$ is a simple D-graded vertex operator algebra such that V^0 is a rational vertex operator subalgebra of $\tilde{V}$ and $V^{\alpha}\neq 0$ for all

$\alpha \in D$ with $N_{V^\alpha V^\beta}^{V^\gamma} = \delta_{\alpha+\beta,\gamma}$ for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in D$. Let $M = \sum_{\alpha \in D} M^\alpha$ be an irreducible $\tilde{V}$-module such that (1) each M^β is an irreducible V^0-module, (2) M^α and M^β are inequivalent for $\alpha \neq \beta$, and (3) $V^\alpha \cdot M^\beta = M^{\alpha+\beta}$ for all α and β. Then the $\tilde{V}$-module structure of M is determined uniquely by the V^0-module structure of M, that is, if $\left(M, \overline{Y}_M\right)$ is also a simple $\tilde{V}$-module with $\overline{Y}_M(u,z) = Y_M(u,z)$ for all $u \in V^0$, then $\left(M, \overline{Y}_M\right)$ and (M, Y_M) are isomorphic.

Proof. By fusion rules, for any $u \in V^\alpha$, $w \in M^\beta$, $\alpha, \beta \in D$, there exists nonzero constant $\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}$ such that $\overline{Y}(u,z)\, w = \lambda_{\alpha,\beta} Y(u,z)\, w$. Since $\overline{Y}_M(u,z) = Y_M(u,z)$ for all $u \in V^0$, we have $\lambda_{0,\alpha} = 1$ for any $\alpha \in D$.

For any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in D$, let $u \in V^\alpha$, $v \in V^\beta$, $w \in M^\gamma$, by associativity, there exists nonnegative integer k such that

$$(z_1+z_2)^k\, \overline{Y}_M(u,z_1)\, \overline{Y}_M(v,z_2)\, w = (z_1+z_2)^k\, \overline{Y}_M(Y(u,z_0)v, z_2)\, w$$

and that

$$(z_1+z_2)^k\, Y_M(u,z_1)\, Y_M(v,z_2)\, w = (z_1+z_2)^k\, Y_M(Y(u,z_0)v, z_2)\, w$$

Thus we get

$$\lambda_{\alpha,\beta+\gamma} \cdot \lambda_{\beta,\gamma} = \lambda_{\alpha+\beta,\gamma} \tag{2}$$

for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in D$. In particular, let $\gamma = 0$,we obtain $\lambda_{\alpha+\beta,0} = \lambda_{\alpha,\beta} \cdot \lambda_{\beta,0}$.

Let $f : \overline{\sum_\alpha M^\alpha} \to \sum_\alpha M^\alpha$ be defined by $f\left(\sum_\alpha w_\alpha\right) = \sum_\alpha \frac{1}{\lambda_{\alpha,0}} w_\alpha$ where $w_\alpha \in M^\alpha$. Then clearly f is a linear isomorphism. Let $u \in V^\alpha$, $w \in M^\beta$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\overline{Y}_M(u,z)\, w\right) &= f\left(\lambda_{\alpha,\beta} Y(u,z)\, w\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_{\alpha+\beta,0}} \cdot \lambda_{\alpha,\beta} \cdot Y(u,z)\, w \\ &= \lambda_{\beta,0} Y_M(u,z)\, w \\ &= Y_M(u,z)\, f(w)\,. \end{aligned}$$

Thus f is an isomorphism of $\tilde{V}$-modules. □

Combining the above two propositons, we get the following results:

Theorem 5.4. Let $L = \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ with $\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle = 2k$ with k some positive integer. Then any irreducible $(V_L \otimes V_L)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$-module is isomorphic to one of the following modules:

$$\left\{(i\ j)\,,\ \widetilde{(i\ \epsilon)},\ \widehat{(i\ \epsilon)} | 0 \le i, j \le 2k-1, i > j, \epsilon = 0, 1\right\}.$$

6 The quantum dimensions

The notions and properties of quantum dimensions have been systematically studied in [DJX]. For a rational, C_2-cofinite, self-dual vertex operator algebra of CFT type, quantum dimensions of its irreducible modules have nice properties. It turns out that these properties are very helpful in determining fusion rules. Now we recall some notions and properties about quantum dimensions.

Definition 6.1. Let g be an automorphism of the vertex operator algebra V with order T. Let $M=\oplus_{n\in\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}_+}M_{\lambda+n}$ be a g-twisted V-module, the formal character of M is defined as

$$\mathrm{ch}_q M=\mathrm{tr}_M q^{L(0)-c/24}=q^{\lambda-c/24}\sum_{n\in\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}_+}\left(\dim M_{\lambda+n}\right)q^n,$$

where λ is the conformal weight of M.

We denote the holomorphic function $\mathrm{ch}_q M$ by $Z_M\left(\tau\right)$. Here and below, τ is in the upper half plane $\mathbb{H}$ and $q=e^{2\pi i\tau}$.

Definition 6.2. Let V be a vertex operator algebra and M a g-twisted V-module such that $Z_V\left(\tau\right)$ and $Z_M\left(\tau\right)$ exists. The quantum dimension of M over V is defined as

$$\mathrm{qdim}_V M=\lim_{y\to 0}\frac{Z_M\left(iy\right)}{Z_V\left(iy\right)},$$

where y is real and positive.

From now on, we assume V is a rational, C_2-cofinite vertex operator algebra of CFT type with $V\cong V'$. Let $M^0\cong V$, M^1, $\cdots$, M^d denote all inequivalent irreducible V-modules. Moreover, we assume the conformal weights λ_i of M^i are positive for all $i>0$. Then we have the following properties of quantum dimensions [DJX]:

Proposition 6.3. $q\dim_V M^i\geq 1, \forall i=0,\cdots,d.$

Proposition 6.4. For any $i,\ j=0,\cdots,\ d$,

$$q\dim_V\left(M^i\boxtimes M^j\right)=q\dim_V M^i\cdot q\dim_V M^j.$$

Proposition 6.5. A V-module M is a simple current if and only if $q\dim_V M=1$.

Remark 6.6. By Proposition 4.2 and [A4] we see that the vertex operator algebra $\left(V_L\otimes V_L\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ satisfies all the assumptions and thus we can apply these properties.

By applying properties of irreducible $\left(V_L\otimes V_L\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$-modules, we obtain quantum dimensions of all irreducible $\left(V_L\otimes V_L\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$-modules as follows:

Proposition 6.7. For $0\leq i,j\leq 2k-1$,$i>j$, $\epsilon=0,1$,

$$q\dim\left(ij\right)=2,$$

$$q\dim\left(\widetilde{i\,\epsilon}\right)=1,$$

$$q\dim\left(\widehat{i\,\epsilon}\right)=\sqrt{2k}.$$

Proof. First we notice that by fusion rules of irreducible modules of $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}$ and $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^+$, $V_{\frac{1}{2}\beta+\mathbb{Z}\beta}\otimes V_{\frac{1}{2}\beta+\mathbb{Z}\beta}^+$ is a simple current. Thus $q\dim_{V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}\otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^+}\mathcal{U}=2$. By definition of quantum dimension, we see that for each $\mathcal{U}$-module W,

$$q\dim_{\mathcal{U}}W=\frac{q\dim_{V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}\otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^+}W}{q\dim_{V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}\otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^+}\mathcal{U}}=\frac{1}{2}q\dim_{V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}\otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^+}W.$$

Thus it suffices to find quantum dimensions of these modules as $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}\otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}$- modules. By fusion rules of irreducible $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}$-modules, we see that $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{i}{4k}\beta}$ is a simple current. By Proposition 6.5, $q\dim_{V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}} V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{i}{4k}\beta} = 1$. By fusion rules of irreducible modules, both $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}$ and $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{-}$ are simple currents of $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}$, therefore $q\dim_{V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}} V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+} = q\dim_{V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}} V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{-} = 1$. Since $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta} = V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+} + V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{-}$, we have $q\dim_{V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}} V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta} = 2$. Similarly we get $q\dim_{V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}} V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta+\frac{i}{4k}\beta} = 2$, $i \in \{1,\cdots,2k-1\}$. By Proposition 6.4, we obtain

$$q\dim_{V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}\otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}} (i\ j) = 4$$

and therefore $q\dim_{\mathcal{U}} (ij) = 2$, $0 \le i,j \le 2k-1$, $i > j$.

By similar argument, it is easy to see that $q\dim\left(\widetilde{i\ \epsilon}\right) = 1$ for $i = 0,1,\cdots,2k-1$, $\epsilon = 0,1$.

To obtain the quantum dimensions of $\widehat{(i\ \epsilon)}$, we first observe that by fusion rules of irreducible $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}$-modules we have the fusion product:

$$V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{T_2,-} \boxtimes_{V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}} V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{T_2,-} = V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+} + V_{\frac{\beta}{2}+\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{-} + \sum_{1\le r\le 2k-1, r \text{ even}} V_{\frac{r}{4k}+\mathbb{Z}\beta}.$$

Since $q\dim_{V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}} V_{\frac{r}{4k}+\mathbb{Z}\beta} = 2$, we see that the quantum dimension of the right hand side is $2k$ and

$$q\dim\left(V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{T_2,-} \boxtimes_{V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}} V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{T_2,-}\right) = 2k.$$

By Proposition 6.4, we obtain $q\dim_{V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}} V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{T_2,-} = \sqrt{2k}$. Similarly, we can prove

$$q\dim_{V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}} V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{T_1,+} = q\dim_{V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}} V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{T_1,-} = q\dim_{V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}} V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{T_2,+} = q\dim_{V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}} V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{T_2,-} = \sqrt{2k}.$$

Thus $q\dim_{V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}\otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}} \widehat{(i\ \epsilon)} = 2\sqrt{2k}$ and $q\dim_{\mathcal{U}} \widehat{(i\ \epsilon)} = \sqrt{2k}$, $i = 0,1,\cdots,2k$, $\epsilon = 0,1$. □

7 Fusion Rules

In this section, we apply the quantum dimensions obtained in the previous section and the fusion rules of irreducible $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}$- and $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}$-modules in [DL1] and [A2] to determine the fusion products of the cyclic permutation orbifold model.

Theorem 7.1. For any $i > j$, $0 \le i,j,l \le 2k-1$, $\epsilon \in \{0,1\}$, the following are the fusion products of irreducible modules of $(V_L \otimes V_L)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$:

$$(i\ j) \boxtimes \widehat{(l\ \epsilon)} = \widehat{(i+j+l\ 0)} + \widehat{(i+j+l\ 1)} \tag{3}$$

where $i+j+l$ is understood to be its remainder in $\{0,...,2k-1\}$ when divided by $2k$,

$$(i\ j) \boxtimes \widetilde{(l\ \epsilon)} = (i+l\ j+l)\,, \tag{4}$$

$$\widetilde{(i\ \epsilon)} \boxtimes \widetilde{(j\ \epsilon_1)} = \widetilde{(i+j\ \epsilon+\epsilon_1)} \tag{5}$$

where $\epsilon+\epsilon_1$ is understood to be its remainder in $\{0,1\}$ when divided by 2,

$$\widetilde{(i\,\epsilon)} \boxtimes \widehat{(j\,\epsilon_1)} = (2i\widehat{+j\,\epsilon+}\epsilon_1), \tag{6}$$

$$(i\,j) \boxtimes (p\,q) = (\widetilde{p+j\,0}) + (\widetilde{p+j\,1}) + (\widetilde{p-i\,0}) + (\widetilde{p-i\,1}) \tag{7}$$

if $i-j = p-q$,

$$(i\,j) \boxtimes (p\,q) = (i+p\,j+q) + (i+q\,j-p)$$

if $i-j \pm (p-q) \neq 0, 2k$,

$$\widehat{(i\,\epsilon)} \boxtimes \widehat{(j\,\epsilon_1)} = \left(\widetilde{\frac{i+j}{2}\,\epsilon}+\epsilon_1\right) + \left(k+\widetilde{\frac{i+j}{2}\,\epsilon}+\epsilon_1+1\right) + \sum_{1\leq r\leq 2k-1, r \text{ even}} (i+r\,j-r) \tag{8}$$

if $k+i$ and $k+j$ are both odd,

$$\widehat{(i\,\epsilon)} \boxtimes \widehat{(j\,\epsilon_1)} = \left(\widetilde{\frac{i+j}{2}\,\epsilon}+\epsilon_1\right) + \left(k+\widetilde{\frac{i+j}{2}\,\epsilon}+\epsilon_1\right) + \sum_{1\leq r\leq 2k-1, r \text{ even}} (i+r\,j-r) \tag{9}$$

if $k+i$ and $k+j$ are both even, and

$$\widehat{(i\,\epsilon)} \boxtimes \widehat{(j\,\epsilon_1)} = \sum_{1\leq r\leq 2k-1, r \text{ odd}} (i+r\,j-r) \tag{10}$$

if one of $k+i$ and $k+j$ is even and the other is odd.

Proof. Proof of (3), (4), (5) and (6): For $0 \leq i, j \leq 2k-1$ with $i > j$, first by fusion rules of irreducible $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}$- and $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^+$- modules, we get $I_{\mathcal{U}}\begin{pmatrix} \widehat{(i+j+l\ \epsilon)} \\ (ij)\ \widehat{(l\ \epsilon)} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$, $\epsilon = 0, 1$. By counting quantum dimensions of both sides, we get (3). By similar arguments, we can prove (4), (5) and (6).

Proof of (7): For $0 \leq i, j, p, q \leq 2k-1$ with $i > j, p > q$ and $i-j = p-q$, by fusion rules of irreducible $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}$ and $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^+$ modules, we have $I_{\mathcal{U}}\begin{pmatrix} (\widetilde{\frac{i+j+p+q}{2}\ \epsilon}) \\ (i\ j)\ (p\ q) \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$, $\epsilon = 0, 1$. Since $i-j = p-q$, we write $\frac{i+j+p+q}{2}$ as $p+j$, then $I_{\mathcal{U}}\begin{pmatrix} (\widetilde{p+j\ \epsilon}) \\ (i\ j)\ (p\ q) \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$. Besides, by (4) and Proposition 2.12, we get $I_{\mathcal{U}}\begin{pmatrix} (\widetilde{p-i\ \epsilon}) \\ (i\ j)\ (p\ q) \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$ for $\epsilon = 0, 1$. By counting quantum dimensions of both sides, we get (7).

Proof of (8) and (9):

When $k+i$ and $k+j$ are both odd, by fusion rules of irreducible $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}$ and $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^+$ modules, we get $I_{\mathcal{U}}\begin{pmatrix} \left(\widetilde{\frac{i+j}{2}\ \epsilon}+\epsilon_1\right) \\ \widehat{(i\ \epsilon)}\ \widehat{(j\ \epsilon_1)} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$, $I_{\mathcal{U}}\begin{pmatrix} \left(k+\widetilde{\frac{i+j}{2}\ \epsilon}+\epsilon_1+1\right) \\ \widehat{(i\ \epsilon)}\ \widehat{(j\ \epsilon_1)} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$, $\epsilon, \epsilon_1 = 0, 1$. For $1 \leq r \leq 2k-1$ with r even, notice that $I_{V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^+}\begin{pmatrix} V_{\frac{r}{4k}\beta+\mathbb{Z}\beta} \\ V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{T_2,\pm}\ V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{T_2,\pm} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$, we obtain $I_{\mathcal{U}}\begin{pmatrix} (\widetilde{i+r\ j-r}) \\ \widehat{(i\ \epsilon)}\ \widehat{(j\ \epsilon_1)} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$. By counting quantum dimensions of both sides, we get (8). By similar argument, we can prove (9).

Proof of (10): By fusion rules of irreducible $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}$-modules, we see that $I_{V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{+}}\begin{pmatrix} V_{\frac{r}{4k}\beta+\mathbb{Z}\beta} \\ V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{T_2,\pm} \ V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}^{T_1,\pm} \end{pmatrix}$ is nonzero for $1 \leq r \leq 2k-1$ with r odd. By observing irreducible $\mathcal{U}$-modules as listed in Proposition 5.1 and applying fusion rules of irreducible $V_{\mathbb{Z}\beta}$-modules, we obtain $I_{\mathcal{U}}\begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{(i+r\ j-r)} \\ \widehat{(i\ \epsilon)}\ \widehat{(j\ \epsilon_1)} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$. By counting quantum dimensions, we prove the fusion product (10).

□

References

[A1] T. Abe, Fusion Rules for the Free Bosonic Orbifold Vertex Operator Algebra, *J. Algebra* **229** (2000) 333-374.

[A2] T. Abe, Rationality of the vertex operator algebra V_L^+ for a positive definite even lattice L, *Math. Z.* **249** (2005) 455-484.

[A3] T. Abe, C_2-cofiniteness of the 2-cycle permutation orbifold models of minimal Virasoro vertex operator algebras. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **303** (2011) 825-844.

[A4] T. Abe, C_2-cofiniteness of 2-cyclic permutation orbifold models. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **317** (2013), no. 2, 425-445.

[AD] T. Abe, C. Dong, Classification of irreducible modules for the vertex operator algebra V_L^+: general case, *J. Algebra* **273** (2004) 657-685.

[ADL] T. Abe, C. Dong, H. Li, Fusion rules for the vertex operator $M(1)^+$ and V_L^+ , *Comm. Math. Phys.* **253** (2005) 171-219.

[Ba] P. Bantay, Characters and modular properties of permutation orbifolds. *Phys. Lett.* **B419** (1998) 175–178.

[BDM] K. Barron, C. Dong, G. Mason, Twisted sectors for tensor product vertex operator algebras associated to permutation groups. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **227** (2002) 349-384.

[BHL] K. Barron, Y. Huang, J. Lepowsky, An equivalence of two constructions of permutation-twisted modules for lattice vertex operator algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **210** (2007), 797-826.

[Bo] R. E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **83** (1986), 3068-3071.

[BHS] L. Borisov, M.B. Halpern, C. Schweigert, Systematic approach to cyclic orbifolds. *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A13** (1998) 125–168.

[D1] C. Dong, Vertex algebrs associated with even lattices, *J. Algebra* **160** (1993) 245-265.

[D2] C. Dong, Twisted modules for vertex algebras associated with even lattices, *J. Algebra* **165** (1994) 91-112.

[DJL] C. Dong,C. Jiang, X. Lin, Rationality of vertex operator algebra V_L^+: higher rank, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **104** (2012),

[DJX] C. Dong, X. Jiao, F. Xu, Quantum dimensions and Quantum Galois theory, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **365** (2013) 6441-6469.

[DL1] C. Dong, J. Lepowsky, Generalized vertex algebras and relative vertex operators, *Progress in Math* Vol. **112**, Boston: Birkhauser, 1993.

[DL2] C. Dong, J. Lepowsky, The algebraic structure of relative twisted vertex operators, *J. Pure and Applied Algebra* **110** (1996), 259-295.

[DLM1] C. Dong, H. Li, G. Mason, Regularity of rational vertex operator algebras, *Adv. in Math.* **132** (1997) 148-166.

[DLM2] C. Dong, H. Li, G. Mason, Twisted representations of vertex operator algebras, *Math. Ann.* **310** (1998) 571-600.

[DM] C. Dong and G. Mason, On quantum Galois theory, *Duke Math. J.* **86** (1997), 305-321.

[DN1] C. Dong, K. Nagatomo, Classification of irreducible modules for the vertex operator algebra $M(1)^+$, *J. Algebra* **216** (1999) 384-404.

[DN2] C. Dong, K. Nagatomo, Representations of vertex operator algebra V_L^+ for rank one lattice L, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **202** (1999) 169-195.

[DN3] C. Dong, K. Nagatomo, Classification of irreducible modules for the vertex operator algebra $M(1)^+$: Higher rank, *J. Algebra* **240** (2001) 289-325.

[DY] C. Dong, G. Yamskulna, Vertex operator algebras, generalized doubles and dual pairs. *Math. Z.* **241** (2002), no. 2, 397–423.

[FHL] Frenkel, I., Huang, Y.-Z., Lepowsky, J.: On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **104(494)** (1993).

[FLM] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky, A. Meurman, Vertex operator algebras and the monster, *Pure and Applied Math.* vol. **134**, Academic Press, Massachusetts, 1988.

[Le] J. Lepowsky, Calculus of twisted vertex operators, *Proc. Natl. Acad Sci. USA* **82** (1985), 8295-8299.

[Li] H. Li, Some finiteness properties of regular vertex operator algebras, *J. Algebra* **212** (1999) 495-514.

[M] M. Miyamoto, C_2-cofiniteness of cyclic-orbifold models, arXiv:1306.5031.

[KLX] Kac, V., Longo, R., Xu, F., Solitions in affine and permutation orbifolds. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **253** (2005) 723-764.

[Y] H. Yamauchi, Module categories of simple current extensions of vertex operator algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **189(1-3)** (2004) 315-358.